


RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 08 2004


# IN THE CLAIMS

Claim 1 (Amended). A quaternary compound conforming to the following structure:



wherein;


R<sup>1</sup> is selected from the group consisting of -CH<sub>3</sub>, -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OH)-CH<sub>2</sub>OH and




Claim 2 (Original). A quaternary compound of claim 1 wherein R<sup>1</sup> is -CH<sub>3</sub>.

Claim 3 (Cancel)

Claim 4 (Original). A quaternary compound of claim 1 wherein  $R^1$  is




Claim 5 (Original). A quaternary compound conforming to the following structure:



wherein;

$R^1$  is selected from the group consisting of  $-\text{CH}_3$ ,  $-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}(\text{OH})\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$  and




Claim 6 (Original). A quaternary compound of claim 5 wherein  $R^1$  is  $-\text{CH}_3$ .

Claim 7 (Original). A quaternary compound of claim 5 wherein

$R^1$  is  $-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}(\text{OH})\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ .

Claim 8 (Original). A quaternary compound of claim 5 wherein  $R^1$  is



**Discussion of the Amendment**

Claims 1-8 are pending. Claims 5-8 stand allowed, claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 USC 102 and Claims 2 and 4 stand objected to. Applicant has amended claim 1 to remove the definition that R<sup>1</sup> is -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(OH)CH<sub>2</sub>OH, and cancelled claim 3. This R<sup>1</sup> definition is the basis of the rejection under 35 USC 102. Applicant respectfully contends that the removal of that definition removes the rejection under 35 USC 102 and places the remaining claims in a condition of allowance.

Applicant thanks Examiner Kumur for the helpful recommendations. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims as amended and anxiously awaits an early Notice of allowance on the now pending claims.

Respectfully submitted;



Anthony J. O'Lenick, Jr.  
Applicant  
October 8, 2004  
2170 Luke Edwards Road  
Dacula, Ga 30019